

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR(CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION NO. 3109 OF 2003

PETITIONERS : 1. Vinod Singh Sikarwar,son of H.S.Sikarwar, aged about 37 years, presently working as Head Constable, Telecom(Tech),Posted at Police Telecom Head Quarter,Bhilai, District-Durg,(C.G.)

: 2. Ram Kumar Mishra,son of Shri Bhagwan Das Mishra,aged about 42 years, presently working as Head Constable,Telecom,(Tech), posted at Police Telecom Head Quarter,Bhilai,District-Durg,(C.G.)

P.R. No. 2955/03
Presented by Shri Sameer Behar
dated 24.09.03

: 3. Sukh Dayal Deharia, son of Shri Kodulal Deharia, aged about 39 years, presently working as Head Constable, Telecom, (Tech) posted at Police (Telecom) Range Head Quarter,Raipur,(C.G.)

V E R S U S

RESPONDENTS : 1. Union of India, through the Secretary,Department of Personnel/Public Grievances and Pension, IIIrd floor,Lok Nayak Bhawan, Khan Market,New Delhi.

: 2. State of Madhya Pradesh,through Secretary, Department of Home Affairs,Vallabh Bhawan,Bhopal,(M.P.)

copy Sent
24/9/03

: 3. State of Chhattisgarh, through Secretary, Department of Home Affairs,D.K.S.Building, Mantralaya,Raipur, District-Raipur,(C.G.).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

1. PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONERS

As per cause title.

2. PARTICULARS OF THE RESPONDENTS :

As per cause title.

...2....

(88)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri**.

Writ Petition No. 3109 of 2003

Petitioners — Vinod Singh Sikarwar & others

Versus

Respondents — Union of India & others

Shri B. D. Guru, Advocate for the petitioners.

Shri S. K. Beriwal, Standing counsel for Respondent No. 1.

Shri Sandeep Dubey, Govt. Advocate for Respondents No. 3.

Shri V. C. Ottalwar, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

ORAL ORDER

(21st February, 2006)

The following order of the Court was passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners, who are working in the Home Department, are permanent residents of the State of Madhya Pradesh. The petitioners have been allocated to the State of Chhattisgarh and they want their reallocation to the State of Madhya Pradesh. He further submits that the representations dated 12.4.2002 and 6.5.2003 (collectively filed as Annexure P/2) are pending before the Additional Secretary, Home Department Govt. of Chhattisgarh, Raipur,have not been considered by the authorities concerned. Learned counsel for the petitioners, on instruction, submits that the petitioners be granted liberty to make a representation to the respondents for redressal of their grievances. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents have no objection to the said submission.

2. In view of the foregoing, the petition stands disposed of finally, with liberty to the petitioners to make appropriate representation to the respondents/authorities concerned, within a period of two weeks from today, and the respondents/authorities are directed to consider and decide the same, in an objective manner, in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of the representation, if any.

Certified copy, as per Rules.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur